

Meeting Agenda

Date:	24 March 2026
Meeting Purpose:	Revenue Reset Reference Group – meeting 6
Meeting Location:	Board Room, Rymill Building, 55/52 East Terrace, Adelaide SA 5000
Attending	ENET – Bec Malhotra, Brett Rickell; Ed Heaton, Chris Ridings, Jeremy Tustin RRRG – Cathi Butterfield, Georgina Morris, Greg McCarron, Leanne Muffet
Apologies	RRRG – Andrew Richards AER – Kirk Zammit Rabi Islam (not invited)

Item	Agenda	IAP2	Responsible
1	Acknowledgement of Country and welcome	N/A	Leanne Muffet
2	Formal sign off - minutes and actions		Leanne Muffet
3	Capex 1 Deep Dive Repex Session 1 – Forecasting methodology	Inform	Brett Rickell
4	Break		All
5	Capex 1: Deep Dive Repex: Session 2 – Sample of key projects	Consult/Involve	Brett Rickell
6	Other items <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Current regulatory period update - Framework & approach update - Preliminary Proposal 1st observations 	Open Discussion	Jeremy Tustin/Bec Malhotra
7	Close		Leanne Muffet

Next meeting – 13 April 2026 10.30am

Action items

Agenda	Action	Who is to Resolve	Due Date
This year's engagement / meeting schedule	Report on current period drivers, performance and reprioritisation	Jeremy Tustin	TBC
Capex	Dashboard slide to be maintained, and incorporate category changes from current period	Jeremy Tustin	13 April
Capex	ElectraNet to present on unit cost increases	Jeremy Tustin	TBC
Capex	Expanded commentary in the PRP	ElectraNet staff	13 April
AOB	Report on project prioritisation/deferrals and	ElectraNet staff	TBC

Agenda	Action	Who is to Resolve	Due Date
	implication for allowed revenue in previous periods		

Summary of discussions

Welcome

Leanne facilitated an Acknowledgement of Country.

Formal sign off – minutes and actions

RRRG ran through Action Items. All items have been completed except “Report on current period drivers” which will be updated after the end of Financial Year.

Action items and previous meeting’s minutes were signed off.

Capex 1: Deep dive repex – session 1

Brett Rickell, Manager Asset Lifecycle and Planning, presented on replacement expenditure (repex) forecast for the revenue determination.

- ElectraNet noted a high level overview of forecast capex, sitting at \$2.9 billion.
 - **RRRG requested the dashboard slide to be included in subsequent meetings.**
 - ElectraNet noted the current repex forecast of \$875.2 million, noting ageing infrastructure was a lead indicator, but actual assessments were done based on asset condition. ElectraNet also noted the high proportion of assets beyond useful life compared to other TNSPs, indicating a good maintenance regime.
- ElectraNet noted the substantial increase in unit costs which have materially outpaced CPI.
 - **RRRG suggested the source of the data underpinning the analysis ought to be made clear in the PRP.**
- ElectraNet presented data on both the substantial increase in lead order time and cost increase for 275kV transformers over the past several years.
 - **ElectraNet noted it would aim to provide a source for the information and present on the topic further in the Preliminary Revenue Proposal.**
- ElectraNet noted specific investment drivers, in particular the move from electromechanical to electronic secondary systems which cannot be maintained in the same way, and many of which no longer receive support from OEMs.
 - RRRG questioned whether ElectraNet was working with other TNSPs to standardise systems and increase the support life of those systems. ElectraNet confirmed that it was in ongoing discussions with other TNSPs. **RRRG requested further commentary on this in the PRP.**
- **RRRG requested further commentary on decision making around investment deferral, as well as understanding ElectraNet’s approach to forecasting unit cost increases.**
 - ElectraNet commented it was unlikely costs will decrease, but will likely plateau.

Capex 1: Deep dive repex – session 2

- ElectraNet discussed how the RRRG can engage in repex discussions: advising on whether descriptions are clear and reasonable for non-negotiable projects, and checking proportionality and engaging on scope for more discretionary projects.
- ElectraNet provided a deep dive into a number of specific repex projects:
 - Hummocks substation replacement – why it was required.
 - **RRRG requested further clarification on how unit cost forecasts are included and what dollar terms are used in assessments.**
 - Line insulation unit asset replacement.
 - Cultana–Stony Point.
 - Isolator unit replacement – noting OEM parts are unavailable for 50% of assets.
 - **RRRG requested further clarification on the definition of ‘sustainability’ used for repex and other expenditure areas.**
 - Robertstown Transformer 1 – noting importance of integrated asset planning to future-proof investment decisions.
 - Para substation – noting this was triggered off a combination of environmental compliance and infrastructure deterioration.
- **RRRG requested further clarification on what type of decision making was used to prioritise investment decisions.**
- **RRRG requested a list of projects which were deferred from the last or current period and how these are being considered in the revenue proposal.**
 - This is part of the update scheduled for after the end of Financial Year
- **RRRG requested further commentary on which projects have been eliminated from consideration, and why certain projects are non-negotiable.**
- **Leanne requested inclusion in the slide pack of 2 overview slides that were in the original draft slide deck.**

AOB

- Leanne noted a survey will be circulated following the meeting to be completed in the next 24 hours.
- **RRRG requested further commentary on project prioritisation, deferred projects from last/current period, and what this means for capex originally forecast in those revenue determinations.**
- ElectraNet noted that it would provide an update in July/August which discusses project outlook for the remainder of the current regulatory period.
- **Bec and Leanne to develop survey questions on both the PRP and meeting format for RRRG members.**
- ElectraNet noted it would send an updated PRP which includes more detail on opex ahead of the next RRRG meeting, as well as further detail addressing the meeting’s discussions.
- **RRRG noted it would like to see the dashboard slide remain, but also incorporate how each category of spend was increasing from current period.**
- Greg volunteered to present to the CAP in May on the RRRG meeting.